# A Hamilton-Jacobi Approach to Disordered Systems

Victor Issa

École Normale Supérieure de Lyon (ENSL)

October 23, 2024



## Collaborators



Jean-Christophe Mourrat École Normale Supérieure de Lyon (ENSL)



Hong-Bin Chen Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques (IHÉS)

You are tasked with placing N students in two dormitories in such a way as to ensure that the students are as happy as possible, given that any individual pair of students might want to be in the same dormitory or in different ones.

- You are tasked with placing N students in two dormitories in such a way as to ensure that the students are as happy as possible, given that any individual pair of students might want to be in the same dormitory or in different ones.
- Attribute to student *i* a value σ<sub>i</sub> ∈ {−1, 1} dictating if they are in dormitory A or B.

- You are tasked with placing N students in two dormitories in such a way as to ensure that the students are as happy as possible, given that any individual pair of students might want to be in the same dormitory or in different ones.
- Attribute to student *i* a value σ<sub>i</sub> ∈ {−1, 1} dictating if they are in dormitory A or B.
- Denote by J<sub>ij</sub> ∈ ℝ the quality of the interaction between student i and j. When J<sub>ij</sub> > 0, student i and j prefer to be in the same dormitory, otherwise they prefer to be in different dormitories.

- You are tasked with placing N students in two dormitories in such a way as to ensure that the students are as happy as possible, given that any individual pair of students might want to be in the same dormitory or in different ones.
- Attribute to student *i* a value σ<sub>i</sub> ∈ {−1, 1} dictating if they are in dormitory A or B.
- Denote by J<sub>ij</sub> ∈ ℝ the quality of the interaction between student i and j. When J<sub>ij</sub> > 0, student i and j prefer to be in the same dormitory, otherwise they prefer to be in different dormitories.
- Frustration : if J<sub>12</sub> > 0, J<sub>23</sub> > 0 and J<sub>13</sub> < 0 no configuration fully satisfies the preferences of student 1, 2 and 3 simultaneously.

Let  $N \ge 1$ , and  $(J_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le N}$  be i.i.d standard Gaussian random variables.

▶ For 
$$\sigma \in \{-1, +1\}^N$$
 define,

$$H_N^{SK}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N J_{ij}\sigma_i\sigma_j.$$
 (1)

•  $H_N^{SK}$  is a Gaussian process which satisfies,

$$\mathbb{E}H_{N}^{SK}(\sigma)H_{N}^{SK}(\tau) = N\xi^{SK}\left(\frac{\sigma\cdot\tau}{N}\right),$$
(2)  
where  $\xi^{SK}(x) = x^{2}$ .

# The free energy

 One can associate a (random) probability measure to the process H<sup>SK</sup><sub>N</sub>,

$$G_{N,t}^{SK}(\sigma) \propto e^{\sqrt{2t}H_N^{SK}(\sigma) - Nt} \frac{1}{2^N}.$$
 (3)

We are interested in computing the free energy of this probability measure

$$F_{N}^{SK}(t) = -\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \log \left( \frac{1}{2^{N}} \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^{N}} e^{\sqrt{2t} H_{N}^{SK}(\sigma) - Nt} \right).$$
(4)

We have

$$\frac{1}{N}\max_{\sigma\in\{-1,+1\}^N}H_N^{SK}(\sigma) = \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{t-F_N^{SK}(t)}{\sqrt{2t}}.$$
 (5)

## The Parisi formula

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the space of square integrable increasing functions  $q:[0,1) o \mathbb{R}_+.$ 

Theorem (Parisi 1979, Guerra & Talagrand 2006) There exists an explicit functional,  $\mathcal{P}_{t,\xi^{SK}} : \mathcal{Q} \to \mathbb{R}$ , that satisfies

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} F_N^{SK}(t) = \sup_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{P}_{t,\xi^{SK}}(q).$$
(6)

If we replace  $H_N^{SK}$  by a Gaussian process  $H_N^{\xi}$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}H_{N}^{\xi}(\sigma)H_{N}^{\xi}(\tau)=N\xi\left(\frac{\sigma\cdot\tau}{N}\right),$$

where  $\xi(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} a_p x^p$  with  $a_p \ge 0$ , then the theorem above remains valid. (Panchenko 2011)

## General formulation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

The set  $Q^D = Q \times \cdots \times Q$  is the set of square integrable increasing functions  $q : [0, 1) \to \mathbb{R}^D_+$ . Let  $\xi : \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}$  be a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients and  $\Phi : Q^D \to \mathbb{R}$  a Lipschitz function. We consider,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U - \int \xi(\nabla_q U) = 0 \text{ on } (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{Q}^D \\ U(0, \cdot) = \Phi \text{ on } \mathcal{Q}^D. \end{cases}$$
(HJ)

#### Definition

Fréchet derivative Let C be a closed convex cone in a Hilbert space  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$ , we say that  $h : C \to \mathbb{R}$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $x \in C$  when there exists a unique  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  such that as  $x' \to x$  in C,

$$h(x') = h(x) + \langle p, x' - x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + o(|x - x'|).$$
(7)

The Fréchet derivative of h at x is denoted  $\nabla h(x)$  (or  $\nabla_x h(x)$ ).

## Viscosity solution to Hamilton-Jacobi equation

Let  $U: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{Q}^D \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function.

1. The function U is a viscosity subsolution of (HJ) when for every  $(t,q) \in (0, +\infty)$  and every  $h \in C^1((0, +\infty) \times Q^D)$  such that U - h has a minimum at (t,q) we have

$$\partial_t h(t,q) - \int \xi(\nabla h(t,q)) \ge 0.$$

2. The function U is a viscosity supersolution of (HJ) when for every  $(t,q) \in (0,+\infty)$  and every  $h \in C^1((0,+\infty) \times Q^D)$  such that U - h has a maximum at (t,q) we have

$$\partial_t h(t,q) - \int \xi(\nabla h(t,q)) \leqslant 0.$$

3. The function  $U : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{Q}^D \to \mathbb{R}$  is a viscosity solution when it is both a viscosity subsolution and a a viscosity supersolution.

# Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem

Let C be a closed convex cone in a Hilbert space  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$ . We say that  $h : C \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^*$ -increasing when for every  $x, x' \in C$ ,

$$\left( \forall y \in \mathcal{C}, \langle x' - x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \ge 0 \right) \implies h(x') - h(x) \ge 0.$$

### Theorem (Chen 2022)

When the initial condition is  $(Q^D)^*$ -increasing, the Cauchy problem (HJ) admits a unique Lipschitz viscosity solution in the set of functions U satisfying, for every  $t \ge 0$ ,  $U(t, \cdot)$  is  $(Q^D)^*$ -increasing.

#### Remark

For the Cauchy problem arising in the context of spin glasses, the initial condition is  $(Q^D)^*$ -increasing.

### Theorem (Chen 2022)

If  $\xi$  is convex and the initial conditon is  $(Q^D)^*$ -increasing, the unique viscosity solution of (HJ) satisfies

$$U(t,q) = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{Q}^D} \inf_{r \in \mathcal{Q}^D} \left\{ \Phi(q+p) - \langle p,r \rangle_{L^2} + t \int \xi(r) \right\}.$$

## Hamilton-Jacobi equations and the free energy

Recall that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the space of square integrable increasing functions  $q: [0,1) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ . Let  $V: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{Q} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the unique viscosity solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V - \int (\nabla_q V)^2 = 0 \text{ on } (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{Q} \\ V(0, \cdot) = \Psi^{SK} \text{ on } \mathcal{Q}. \end{cases}$$
(HJ1)

Here  $\Psi^{SK}$  denotes a functional transformation of the uniform measure on  $\{-1,1\}$  called the cascade transform.

Theorem (Mourrat 2020)

$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} F_N^{SK}(t) = V(t,0).$$
(8)

Proof : Use the Hopf-Lax representation for V and observe that  $V(t,0) = \sup_{q} \mathcal{P}_{t,\xi^{SK}}(q).$ 

## Multi-species models

It is possible to define more generals models that allow for several types. For models with two types, we encode spin configurations with σ = (σ<sub>1</sub>, σ<sub>2</sub>) ∈ {−1,1}<sup>N</sup> × {−1,1}<sup>N</sup>.

For example, we can consider the bipartite model,

$$H_N^{\rm BP}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N J_{ij}\sigma_{1i}\sigma_{2j}.$$
 (9)

•  $H_N^{\rm BP}$  is a Gaussian process which satisfies,

$$\mathbb{E}H_{N}^{\mathsf{BP}}(\sigma)H_{N}^{\mathsf{BP}}(\tau) = N\xi^{\mathsf{BP}}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}\cdot\tau_{1}}{N},\frac{\sigma_{2}\cdot\tau_{2}}{N}\right), \quad (10)$$
  
where  $\xi^{\mathsf{BP}}(x,y) = xy.$ 

## The free energy for nonconvex models: a conjecture

For the bipartite model, Parisi formula breaks down and it is not even proven that the free energy converges as  $N \to +\infty$ . But, we can still consider W the unique viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t W - \int \nabla_{q_1} W \nabla_{q_2} W = 0 \text{ on } (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{Q}^2 \\ W(0, \cdot) = \Psi^{BP} \text{ on } \mathcal{Q}^2, \end{cases}$$
(HJ2)

where  $\Psi^{BP}(q_1, q_2) = \Psi^{SK}(q_1) + \Psi^{SK}(q_2)$ . Conjecture (Mourrat 2020)

$$\lim_{N\to+\infty}-\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{E}\log\left(\frac{1}{2^{2N}}\sum_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in\{-1,1\}^N}e^{\sqrt{2t}H_N^{BP}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)-Nt}\right)=W(t,0).$$

## The enriched free energy

► Define  $H_N^{t,q} = \sqrt{2t}H_N^{BP}(\sigma) - Nt + H_N^q(\sigma,\alpha)$  for some well-chosen Gaussian process  $H_N^q$ .

Consider the associated free energy

$$\mathcal{F}_{N}(t,q) = -rac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \log \left( rac{1}{2^{2N}} \sum_{\sigma \in (\{-1,1\}^{N})^{2}} \int e^{\mathcal{H}_{N}^{t,q}(\sigma, lpha)} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}(lpha) 
ight).$$

- ▶ In addition, when q = 0 we have  $H_N^q = 0$  and thus  $F_N(t,0) = F_N^{BP}(t)$ .
- Any subsequential limit of (F<sub>N</sub>)<sub>N≥1</sub> satisfies a Hamilton-Jacobi equation in a weak sense in the limit N → +∞. (see next slide)
- To prove the conjecture, it suffices to prove that any weak solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is equal to the viscosity solution.

## The enriched free energy

The family  $(F_N)_{N \ge 1}$  is uniformly Lipschitz on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{Q}^2$ .

Theorem (Chen and Mourrat 2023)

Any subsequential limit of F of  $F_N$  is a semi-concave weak solution of (HJ2). More precisely F satisfies,

- 1.  $F(0,\cdot)=\Psi^{BP}.$  (in fact  $\Psi^{BP}=F_1(0,\cdot))$
- 2.  $\partial_t F \int \nabla_{q_1} F \nabla_{q_2} F = 0$  "almost everywhere" on  $(0, +\infty) \times Q^2$  (outside a Gaussian null set).

3. F is locally semi-concave on  $(0, +\infty) \times Q^2$ .

### Theorem (Mourrat 2020)

Let W be the viscosity solution of (HJ2). For any subsequential limit F of  $F_N$ , we have  $F \ge W$ . In particular,

$$\liminf_{N \to +\infty} F_N^{BP}(t) \ge W(t,0). \tag{11}$$

# Some questions

1. Under which set of assumption can we assert that the viscosity solution is the unique semi-concave weak solution ? (related to finding  $\lim_{N} F_{N}^{BP}(t)$ .)

- 1. Under which set of assumption can we assert that the viscosity solution is the unique semi-concave weak solution ? (related to finding  $\lim_{N} F_{N}^{BP}(t)$ .)
- 2. Can the viscosity solution of (HJ2) be represented using a variational formula ? (related to generalizations of Parisi formula for nonconvex models.)

- 1. Under which set of assumption can we assert that the viscosity solution is the unique semi-concave weak solution ? (related to finding  $\lim_{N} F_{N}^{BP}(t)$ .)
- 2. Can the viscosity solution of (HJ2) be represented using a variational formula ? (related to generalizations of Parisi formula for nonconvex models.)
- 3. What is the regularity of the viscosity solution of (HJ2) ? (related to phase transition and to finding  $\lim_{N} F_{N}^{BP}(t)$ .)

- 1. Under which set of assumption can we assert that the viscosity solution is the unique semi-concave weak solution ? (related to finding  $\lim_{N} F_{N}^{BP}(t)$ .)
- 2. Can the viscosity solution of (HJ2) be represented using a variational formula ? (related to generalizations of Parisi formula for nonconvex models.)
- 3. What is the regularity of the viscosity solution of (HJ2) ? (related to phase transition and to finding  $\lim_{N} F_{N}^{BP}(t)$ .)
- 4. What is the large t behavior of the viscosity solution of (HJ) ? (related to the limit value of max  $H_N$ .)

- 1. Under which set of assumption can we assert that the viscosity solution is the unique semi-concave weak solution ? (related to finding  $\lim_{N} F_{N}^{BP}(t)$ .)
- 2. Can the viscosity solution of (HJ2) be represented using a variational formula ? (related to generalizations of Parisi formula for nonconvex models.)
- 3. What is the regularity of the viscosity solution of (HJ2) ? (related to phase transition and to finding  $\lim_{N} F_{N}^{BP}(t)$ .)
- 4. What is the large t behavior of the viscosity solution of (HJ) ? (related to the limit value of max  $H_N$ .)

# Some selection principles on $\mathbb{R}^d$

Let  $H : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$  function and let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable Lipschitz function with Lipschitz gradient. Consider u the viscosity solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - H(\nabla u) = 0 \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, \cdot) = \phi. \end{cases}$$
(12)

#### Theorem (I. 2024)

Assume that H is convex, then (12) admits at most one semi-concave weak solution and if it exists it is equal to u.

#### Theorem (I. 2024)

Assume that the viscosity solution u is  $C^{1,1}$ , then (12) admits exactly one semi-concave weak solution, and it is equal to u.

- 1. Under which set of assumption can we assert that the viscosity solution is the unique semi-concave weak solution ? (related to finding  $\lim_{N} F_{N}^{BP}(t)$ .)
- 2. Can the viscosity solution of (HJ2) be represented using a variational formula ? (related to generalizations of Parisi formula for nonconvex models.)
- 3. What is the regularity of the viscosity solution of (HJ2) ? (related to phase transition and to finding  $\lim_{N} F_{N}^{BP}(t)$ .)
- 4. What is the large t behavior of the viscosity solution of (HJ) ? (related to the limit value of max  $H_N$ .)

## Hamilton-Jacobi equation with concave initial condition

Assume that  $\phi:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$  be is concave and Lipschitz function, we have

$$\phi(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ x \cdot y - \phi_*(y) \right\},$$
  
where  $\phi_*(y) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ x \cdot y - \phi(x) \right\}.$ 

## Hamilton-Jacobi equation with concave initial condition

The solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - H(\nabla u) = 0 \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, x) = x \cdot y - \phi_*(y), \end{cases}$$

is  $u(t,x) = x \cdot y + tH(y) - \phi_*(y)$ .

Theorem (Hopf representation)

Assume that  $\phi$  is concave, then the unique viscosity solution to

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\partial_t u - \mathcal{H}(
abla u) = 0 \ \textit{on} \ (0, +\infty) imes \mathbb{R}^d \ &u(0, \cdot) = \phi, \end{aligned} 
ight.$$

satisfies

$$u(t,x) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ x \cdot y + tH(y) - \phi_*(y) \right\}.$$

Sketch of proof : Write  $\phi(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{x \cdot y - \phi_*(y)\}$  and permute the HJ semigroup with  $\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ .

# Hopf representation

### Theorem (Chen 2022)

Assume that  $\Phi: \mathcal{Q}^D \to \mathbb{R}$  is concave, then U the unique viscosity solution to (HJ) satisfies

$$U(t,q) = \inf_p \left\{ \langle q,p 
angle_{L^2} + t \int \xi(p) - \Phi_\circ(p) 
ight\},$$

where  $\Phi_{\circ}(p) = \inf_{q \in Q} \{ \langle q, p \rangle_{L^2} - \Phi(q) \}.$ 

# Hopf representation

Theorem (Chen 2022)

Assume that  $\Phi: Q^D \to \mathbb{R}$  is concave, then U the unique viscosity solution to (HJ) satisfies

$$U(t,q) = \inf_{p} \left\{ \langle q,p \rangle_{L^2} + t \int \xi(p) - \Phi_{\circ}(p) 
ight\},$$

where  $\Phi_{\circ}(p) = \inf_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \{ \langle q, p \rangle_{L^2} - \Phi(q) \}.$ 

In the context of spin glasses, the initial condition Ψ<sup>SK</sup> : Q → ℝ and Ψ<sup>BP</sup> : Q<sup>2</sup> → ℝ are not concave (nor convex) in general. So this result cannot be used directly.

# Hopf representation

Theorem (Chen 2022)

Assume that  $\Phi: Q^D \to \mathbb{R}$  is concave, then U the unique viscosity solution to (HJ) satisfies

$$U(t,q) = \inf_{p} \left\{ \langle q,p 
angle_{L^2} + t \int \xi(p) - \Phi_\circ(p) 
ight\},$$

where  $\Phi_{\circ}(p) = \inf_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \{ \langle q, p \rangle_{L^2} - \Phi(q) \}.$ 

- In the context of spin glasses, the initial condition Ψ<sup>SK</sup> : Q → ℝ and Ψ<sup>BP</sup> : Q<sup>2</sup> → ℝ are not concave (nor convex) in general. So this result cannot be used directly.
- But, the initial conditions Ψ<sup>SK</sup> and Ψ<sup>BP</sup> have some hidden concavity properties that can be revealed by altering the geometry of Q.

## On the concavity of the initial condition

- Given  $q \in Q^D$ , one can define a probability measure  $\mu = \text{Law}(q(U))$  where U is a uniform random variable on [0, 1).
- We denote by P<sup>↑</sup>(ℝ<sup>D</sup><sub>+</sub>) the set of probability measure of the form Law(q(U)) with q ∈ Q<sup>D</sup>.
- The map q → Law(q(U)) is injective and given μ ∈ P<sup>↑</sup>(ℝ<sup>D</sup><sub>+</sub>) we denote by q<sub>μ</sub> the unique element of Q<sup>D</sup> such that μ = Law(q<sub>μ</sub>(U)).
- In general, we have

$$q_{\lambda\mu+(1-\lambda)\mu'}
eq\lambda q_\mu+(1-\lambda)q_{\mu'}.$$

• The set  $\mathcal{P}^{\uparrow}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$  is nonconvex.

## On the convexity of the initial condition

### Theorem (Auffinger and Chen<sup>1</sup> 2014)

The function  $\mu \mapsto \Psi^{SK}(q_{\mu})$  is concave on  $\mathcal{P}^{\uparrow}(\mathbb{R}_{+})$ .

#### Remark

Since  $\Psi^{BP}(q_1, q_2) = \Psi^{SK}(q_1) + \Psi^{SK}(q_2)$  we also have that  $\mu \mapsto \Psi^{BP}(q_\mu)$  is concave on every convex subset of  $\mathcal{P}^{\uparrow}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ .

#### Watch out !

The initial conditions  $\Psi^{SK}$  and  $\Psi^{BP}$  are concave in a *modified* geometry. This does not directly yield the Hopf representation for the viscosity solution of (HJ1) and (HJ2) since those are formulated using the Fréchet derivative (= derivative along straight lines in  $Q^D$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wei-Kuo Chen.

## Viscosity solution with affine initial condition

Let  $\Phi: \mathcal{Q} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a Lipschitz and  $\mathcal{Q}^*$ -increasing function such that  $\mu \mapsto \Phi(q_\mu)$  is concave on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then,

$$\Phi(q) = \inf_{\chi} \left\{ \int_0^1 \chi(q(u)) \mathrm{d}u - \Phi_*(\chi) \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over the set of functions  $\chi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  that are 1-Lipschitz, increasing and convex, and where we have defined  $\Phi_*(\chi) = \inf_q \left\{ \int_0^1 \chi(q(u)) du - \Phi(q) \right\}$ .

#### Remark

The function  $\mu \mapsto \int_0^1 \chi(q_\mu(u)) du = \int_0^\infty \chi(x) d\mu(x)$  is affine on  $\mathcal{P}^{\uparrow}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

## Viscosity solution with affine initial condition

"If the initial condition is affine as a function of  $\mu$ , then the viscosity solution is also affine as a function of  $\mu$ "

### Theorem (I. 2024)

Let  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\chi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  be a 1-Lipschitz, increasing and convex function. The unique viscosity solution of

$$egin{aligned} \partial_t U - \int \xi(
abla U) &= 0 \ \textit{on} \ (0, +\infty) imes \mathcal{Q} \ U(0, q) &= \int_0^1 \chi(q(u)) \mathrm{d}u - b, \end{aligned}$$

ls

$$U(t,q) = \int_0^1 S_t \chi(q(u)) \mathrm{d}u - b,$$

where  $S_t \chi$  is the unique viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \xi(\nabla u) = 0 \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \\ u(0, \cdot) = \chi. \end{cases}$$

## A Hopf-like representation for the SK model

Given a Lipschitz and increasing function  $\chi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ , we let  $S_t \chi$  denote the unique viscosity solution of

$$\left\{ egin{aligned} &\partial_t v - (
abla v)^2 = 0 ext{ on } (0, +\infty) imes \mathbb{R}_+ \ &v(0, \cdot) = \chi. \end{aligned} 
ight.$$

also define

$$(\Psi^{SK})_*(\chi) = \inf_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ \int_0^1 \chi(q(u)) \mathrm{d}u - \Psi^{SK}(q) \right\}$$

### Theorem (I. 2024)

The unique viscosity solution V of (HJ1) satisfies

$$V(t,q) = \inf_{\chi} \left\{ \int_0^1 S_t \chi(q(u)) \mathrm{d}u - (\Psi^{SK})_*(\chi) \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over the set of 1-Lipschitz, increasing and convex functions  $\chi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ .

### A conjectural variational formula

Given a Lipschitz and  $\mathbb{R}^2_+$ -increasing function  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ , we let  $S_t \chi$  denote the unique viscosity solution of

$$egin{cases} \partial_t w - 
abla_{x_1} w 
abla_{x_2} w = 0 ext{ on } (0, +\infty) imes \mathbb{R}^2_+ \ w(0, \cdot) = \chi. \end{cases}$$

also define

$$(\Psi^{BP})_*(\chi) = \inf_{q \in \mathcal{Q}^2} \left\{ \int_0^1 \chi(q(u)) \mathrm{d}u - \Psi^{BP}(q) \right\}.$$

#### Conjecture (I. 2024)

The unique viscosity solution W of (HJ2) satisfies

$$W(t,q) = \inf_{\chi} \left\{ \int_0^1 S_t \chi(q(u)) \mathrm{d}u - (\Psi^{BP})_*(\chi) \right\},\,$$

where the infimum is taken over the set of functions of the form  $\chi(x_1, x_2) = \chi_1(x_1) + \chi_2(x_2)$ , with  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  1-Lipschitz, increasing and convex.

## References

For more on this subject, a 20 page expository note is available on Jean-Christophe Mourrat's webpage.

 J.-C. Mourrat, An informal introduction to the Parisi formula. arXiv preprint.

An introductory reference to the Hamilton-Jacobi approach for disordered systems.

 T. Dominguez & J.-C. Mourrat, Statistical mechanics of mean-field disordered systems. Zur. Lect. Adv. Math., EMS (2024).

References for the results of the talk.

- V. Issa, A Hopf-like formula for mean-field spin glass models. arXiv preprint.
- V. Issa, Weak-strong uniqueness principle for Hamilton-Jacobi equations. arXiv preprint.
- V. Issa, Uniqueness of semi-concave weak solutions for Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, to appear.